arXiv:1609.07207v1 [math.CO] 23 Sep 2016

Matching preclusion for n-grid graphs*

Qi Ding, Heping Zhang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, P. R. China

E-mail addresses: dingqi0@yonyou.com, zhanghp@lzu.edu.cn

Hui Zhou

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China

E-mail address: zhouhpku13@pku.edu.cn

September 26, 2016

Abstract

A matching preclusion set of a graph is an edge set whose deletion results in a graph without perfect matching or almost perfect matching. The Cartesian product of n paths is called an n-grid graph. In this paper, we study the matching preclusion problems for n-grid graphs and obtain the following results. If an n-grid graph has an even order, then it has the matching preclusion number n, and every optimal matching preclusion set is trivial. If the n-grid graph has an odd order, then it has the matching preclusion number $n+1$, and all the optimal matching preclusion sets are characterized.

Key words: Matching preclusion number; Optimal matching preclusion set; n-grid graph.

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph (without multiple edges or loops). We use $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote its vertex set and edge set, respectively. The cardinality of $V(G)$ is called the *order* of graph G, and denoted by $n(G)$. An edge set $M \subseteq E(G)$ is called *matching* if no two

*This work was supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11371180).

edges in M have a common end vertex. A matching of G with the maximum cardinality is called a *maximum matching*. For a matching M of G, if the edges in M are incident with all vertices of G, i.e. $|V(G)| = 2|M|$, then M is called a *perfect matching* of G. If the edges in M are incident with all but one of the vertices of G, i.e. $|V(G)| - 1 = 2|M|$, then M is called an *almost perfect matching* of G. A *matching preclusion set* of a graph G is an edge set $F \subseteq E(G)$ such that $G - F$ has neither perfect matching nor almost perfect matching. A matching preclusion set with minimum cardinality is called an *optimal matching preclusion set*. The cardinality of an optimal matching preclusion set of G is called the *matching preclusion number* of G and is denoted by $mp(G)$. Brigham et al. [3] introduced the matching preclusion number as a measure of robustness in the event of edge failure in interconnection networks.

The *degree* $d_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is the number of vertices adjacent to v. Let $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ denote the *minimum degree* and *maximum degree* of G respectively. Cheng et al. gave the following result.

Proposition 1.1 ([7]). *Let G be a graph with even order. Then $mp(G) \leqslant \delta(G)$.*

To investigate the structure of optimal matching preclusion sets, Cheng et al. [7] gave the following concepts. A matching preclusion set F of a graph G is *trivial* if all the edges of F are those edges incident with a single vertex or two vertices according as G has even or odd order. If G has an optimal matching preclusion set F that is trivial, then G is called *maximally matched*. If every optimal matching preclusion set of G is trivial, then G is called *super matched*.

The *Cartesian product* $G\Box H$ of graphs G and H is a graph such that the vertex set of $G\Box H$ is $V(G) \times V(H)$, and two vertices (u, u') and (v, v') are adjacent in $G\Box H$ if and only if either $u = v$ and u' is adjacent to v' in H, or u is adjacent to v in G and $u' = v'$.

The matching preclusion numbers and optimal matching preclusion sets of the following graphs were studied: Petersen graph, complete graph K_n, complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ and hypercube Q_n by Brigham et al. [3], complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n+1}$ by Cheng et al. [7], even order k-ary n-cube Q_n^k by Wang et al. [21], tori and related Cartesian products by Cheng et al. [11], balanced hypercube BH_n by Lü et al. [20], crossed cube CQ_n by Cheng et al. [17].

Cheng et al. [4, 5, 21] considered bipartite graphs and showed that the matching preclusion number of a k-regular bipartite graph is k. The matching preclusion for Cayley graphs can be found in [8, 10, 13]. Li et al. [19] considered a general k-regular connected vertex-

transitive graph with even order and showed that it has matching preclusion number k and is super matched except for six classes of graphs. Almeida et al. [1] obtained some properties of the matching preclusion number of the Cartesian product graphs and computed the matching preclusion numbers of several interconnection networks. For other references on this topic, see [2, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18].

The Cartesian product of n paths is called the *n-grid graph*. More precisely, let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. For each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, let $k_i \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $P_{k_0}, P_{k_1}, \ldots, P_{k_{n-1}}$ be paths. Here P_k denotes a path with k vertices. Then the Cartesian product $G = P_{k_0} \Box P_{k_1} \Box \cdots \Box P_{k_{n-1}}$ is called a $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph, or simply an *n-grid graph*, where each vertex u of G can be regarded as an n-tuple $(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1})$ where $0 \leqslant u_i \leqslant k_i - 1$ for each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.

In this paper, we discuss the matching preclusion for n-grid graphs. The n-grid graphs are a generalization of hypercube Q_n, but not regular in other cases. In Section 2, we will give some structural properties of n-grid graphs. A crucial definition, that is $(f;4)$-cycle, is also given. In Section 3, the matching preclusion for even order n-grid graph is got. We treat first 2-grid graphs and then n-grid graphs for $n \geqslant 3$. By using these results for even order n-grid graphs, we obtain matching preclusion for odd order n-grid graphs in Section 4.

The following is our main theorem, and implied by Theorems 3.11, 4.1 and 4.2.

Theorem 1.2 (Main theorem)**.** *Let G be an n-grid graph. If $n(G)$ is even, then $mp(G) = n$, and G is super matched whenever $n \geqslant 3$. If $n(G)$ is odd, then $mp(G) = n+1$, moreover if $n \geqslant 2$, then $F \subseteq E(G)$ is an optimal matching preclusion set of G if and only if F consists of edges incident with a vertex $u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1})$ with $d_G(u) = n+1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i$ odd.*

The conditional matching preclusion number and optimal conditional matching preclusion sets of n-grid graphs will be given elsewhere.

2 Preliminaries for n-grid graphs

2.1 Basic notations

Let G be a graph. Let F, U, H be a subset of $E(G)$, a subset of $V(G)$ and a subgraph of G, respectively. The subgraph $G - F$ is obtained from G by deleting all the edges in F. When $F = \{f\}$ for some edge $f \in E(G)$, we use $G - f$ to denote $G - \{f\}$. The subgraph $G - U$ is

obtained from G by deleting all the vertices in U together with their incident edges. When $U = \{u\}$ for some vertex $u \in V(G)$, we use $G - u$ instead of $G - \{u\}$. The subgraph $G - H$ is $G - V(H)$.

Let $f \in E(G)$. We use $d_F(f)$ to denote the size of the set $\{g \in F \mid g \text{ is incident with } f\}$.

Let $v \in V(G)$. If there exists an edge $e \in F$ such that e is incident with v, then we say F *covers* v, otherwise we say F *uncovers* v. We say F *uncovers* U if F uncovers u for any vertex $u \in U$. We say F *uncovers* H if F uncovers $V(H)$.

Let A, B be subsets of X. The set $A \setminus B = \{x \in X \mid x \in A, x \notin B\}$. The symmetric difference $A\Delta B$ of A and B is $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$. Let M be a matching of G. A cycle C is called an *M-alternating cycle* if the edges of C alternate in M and $E(G) \setminus M$. We identify the edge set $E(C)$ with the cycle C without confusion and we use $M\Delta C$ to denote the symmetric difference $M\Delta E(C)$.

2.2 Structure of n-grid graphs

We suppose G is a $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph in this subsection. For simplicity, for each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, we use $V(P_{k_i}) = \{0, 1, \ldots, k_i - 1\}$ and $E(P_{k_i}) = \{j(j+1) \mid j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_i - 2\}\}$ without confusion. The order of G is $n(G) = k_0 k_1 \cdots k_{n-1}$. Two vertices $v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ and $u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1})$ of G are adjacent if and only if there exists an integer $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $|v_{i_0} - u_{i_0}| = 1$ and $v_j = u_j$ for every $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \setminus \{i_0\}$. We say that the *position* of the edge $f = uv \in E(G)$ is i_0 or u and v are adjacent at position i_0.

Obviously, the maximum degree $\Delta(G) = 2n - n_2$, where n_2 is the number of 2's in $k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}$, and the minimum degree $\delta(G) = n$. We see that $\Delta(G) = \delta(G)$ if and only if $n_2 = n$ which means G is the hypercube Q_n. (This is the only case G is regular.) The graph G is not regular when $n_2 < n$. If the degree of a vertex v is n, then $v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ satisfies that for each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $v_i = 0$ or $k_i - 1$. Let

$$V_\delta(G) = \{v \in V(G) \mid d_G(v) = \delta(G)\}.$$

Then we have $|V_\delta(G)| = 2^n$. If the degree of a vertex v is $2n - n_2$, without loss of generality we may assume that the first n_2 numbers of $k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}$ are 2's, then $v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ satisfies that for each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n_2 - 1$, we have $v_i = 0$ or 1, and for each $n_2 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, we have $0 < v_j < k_j - 1$. Let

$$V_\Delta(G) = \{v \in V(G) \mid d_G(v) = \Delta(G)\}.$$

Then we have $|V_\Delta(G)| = \prod_{i=n_2}^{n-1}(k_i - 2)$ when $n_2 < n$, or $|V_\Delta(G)| = 2^n = |V_\delta(G)|$ when $n_2 = n$.

An index $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is often referred as a position. Now we fix a position $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and define $E_d(G) = \{f \in E(G) \mid \text{the position of } f \text{ is } d\}$. For each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_d - 1\}$, let $G_d[j]$ be the subgraph of G induced by $\{u \in V(G) \mid u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}), u_d = j\}$. Then G has a partition

$$G = G_d[0] \cup G_d[1] \cup \cdots \cup G_d[k_d - 1] \cup E_d(G),$$

and the connected components of $G - E_d(G)$ are $G_d[0], G_d[1], \ldots, G_d[k_d - 1]$. In this case we say that G is partitioned at position d, or $G_d[0] \cup G_d[1] \cup \cdots \cup G_d[k_d - 1] \cup E_d(G)$ is a partition of G at position d. It is easy to see that for each $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_d - 1$,

$$G_d[j] = P_{k_0} \square \cdots \square P_{k_{d-1}} \square \{j\} \square P_{k_{d+1}} \square \cdots \square P_{k_{n-1}}$$

is an $(n-1)$-grid graph. Note that the corresponding vertices in $G_d[0], G_d[1], \ldots, G_d[k_d - 1]$ are joined through paths of length $k_d - 1$ at position d. For each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_d - 2\}$, let $E_d^{j,j+1}(G)$ be the set of edges between $G_d[j]$ and $G_d[j+1]$ in G, that is $E_d^{j,j+1}(G) = \{f \in E(G) \mid \text{the position of } f = uv \text{ is } d,\ u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}), v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \text{ and } \{u_d, v_d\} = \{j, j+1\}\} \subseteq E_d(G)$. Then

$$E_d(G) = E_d^{0,1}(G) \cup E_d^{1,2}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_d^{k_d-2,k_d-1}(G).$$

Now we have a refined partition

$$G = G_d[0] \cup E_d^{0,1}(G) \cup G_d[1] \cup E_d^{1,2}(G) \cup \cdots \cup G_d[k_d - 2] \cup E_d^{k_d-2,k_d-1}(G) \cup G_d[k_d - 1]$$

of the n-grid graph G at position d.

For each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_d - 1\}$, and any vertex $v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ in $G_d[j]$, we have $v_d = j$. When $j \geqslant 1$, the corresponding vertex to v in $G_d[j-1]$ exists, and we denote it by v^-, i.e. $v^- = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1}, j-1, v_{d+1}, \ldots, v_{n-1})$. When $j \leqslant k_d - 2$, the corresponding vertex to v in $G_d[j+1]$ exists, and we denote it by v^+, i.e. $v^+ = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1}, j+1, v_{d+1}, \ldots, v_{n-1})$.

The subgraph $G[E_d]$ induced by $E_d = E_d(G)$ is the union of $n(G)/k_d$ disjoint paths of length $k_d - 1$. If k_d is even, then $G[E_d]$ contains a perfect matching M_d of G, where

$$M_d = E_d^{0,1}(G) \cup E_d^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_d^{k_d-2,k_d-1}(G).$$

Generally, if the order $n(G)$ is even, then G has a perfect matching. If the order $n(G)$ is odd, then G has no perfect matching, but it has almost perfect matchings. Several lemmas about these results are in Subsection 2.3. So in the following sections we will discuss the matching preclusion for the n-grid graph G in two cases: it has a perfect matching or an almost perfect matching.

To end this subsection, we define an $(f;4)$-cycle (see Figure 1), which will be used frequently in the following sections. We assume $n \geqslant 2$. Let $f \in E(G)$ whose position is d where $0 \leqslant d \leqslant n-1$. Suppose $f = uv$, $u = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}, j, a_{d+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ and $v = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}, j+1, a_{d+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ for some $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_d - 2$. Then $u \in V(G_d[j])$ and $v \in V(G_d[j+1])$. Let $\delta(G_d[j])$ and $\delta(G_d[j+1])$ be the minimum degree of $V(G_d[j])$ and $V(G_d[j+1])$, respectively. Since $d_{G_d[j]}(u) = d_{G_d[j+1]}(v) \geqslant \delta(G_d[j]) = \delta(G_d[j+1]) = n-1 \geqslant 1$, there exist vertices $u' = (a'_0, a'_1, \ldots, a'_{d-1}, j, a'_{d+1}, \ldots, a'_{n-1})$ and $v' = (a'_0, a'_1, \ldots, a'_{d-1}, j+1, a'_{d+1}, \ldots, a'_{n-1})$ such that u' is a neighbor of u in $G_d[j]$ and v' is a neighbor of v in $G_d[j+1]$. Then u' is adjacent to v' at position d. Let C be the cycle induced by vertices u, v, v', u'. The cycle C is of length 4. Then we call C an $(f, d, j; 4)$-*cycle* or $(f;4)$-*cycle* for short. Let d' be the position of uu'. Then the position of vv' is d'. In this case, we denote the $(f, d, j; 4)$-cycle C as an $(f, d, j, d'; 4)$-cycle. Fix the edge f, the number of $(f, d, j; 4)$-cycles is $d_{G_d[j]}(u) = d_{G_d[j+1]}(v)$. Let M be a matching of G such that $E_d^{j,j+1}(G) \subseteq M$, and let C be an $(f, d, j; 4)$-cycle. Then C is an M-alternating cycle and $f \in M \cap E(C)$. So $f \notin M \Delta C$.



Figure 1: An $(f, d, j; 4)$-cycle C.

2.3 Properties of n-grid graphs

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. If the order $n(G)$ is even, then G has a perfect matching.*

Proof. We may suppose k_d is even for some $0 \leqslant d \leqslant n-1$. Let M_d be defined as in the penultimate paragraph of Subsection 2.2. Then M_d is a perfect matching of G. □

Let G be a $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph and let

$$V_{allEven}(G) = \Big\{ u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) \in V(G) \;\Big|\; u_i \text{ is even for each } 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \Big\}.$$

Let $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be a position and let $u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) \in V(G)$ such that u_i is even for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \setminus \{d\}$. Then $G_d[u_d]$ is an $(n-1)$-grid graph, $u \in G_d[u_d]$ and $u \in V_{allEven}(G_d[u_d])$.

The following lemmas are properties of odd order n-grid graphs. Let the order $n(G)$ be odd. Then $k_i \geqslant 3$ is odd for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. So $V_\delta(G) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph, and let $u \in V_{allEven}(G)$. Then G has an almost perfect matching M_u which uncovers u.*

Proof. Suppose $u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) \in V_{allEven}(G)$. We will prove this result by induction on n. When $n = 1$, G is a path $P_k = v_0 v_1 \cdots v_{k-1}$ of length $k-1$, where $k = k_0 \geq 3$ is odd and $v_j = j$ for each $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1$. If $u = v_j$ is even, then $M_u = \{v_0v_1, \ldots, v_{j-2}v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}v_{j+2}, \ldots, v_{k-2}v_{k-1}\}$ is an almost perfect matching of G such that M_u uncovers u.

We suppose that $n \geqslant 2$. Let $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then $G = G_d[0] \cup G_d[1] \cup \cdots \cup G_d[k_d - 1] \cup E_d(G)$. For each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_d - 1\}$, $G_d[j]$ is isomorphic with an odd order $(n-1)$-grid graph. So the result is true for $G_d[j]$ by induction hypothesis. Since $u \in V_{allEven}(G_d[u_d])$, we can find an almost perfect matching $M_d[u_d]$ of $G_d[u_d]$ such that $M_d[u_d]$ uncovers u. Then $M_u = E_d^{0,1}(G) \cup E_d^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_d^{u_d-2,u_d-1}(G) \cup M_d[u_d] \cup E_d^{u_d+1,u_d+2}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_d^{k_d-2,k_d-1}(G)$ is an almost perfect matching of G such that M_u uncovers u. □

Let $u \in V_{allEven}(G)$. Then $G - u$ has a perfect matching by Lemma 2.2. Here we calculate the matching preclusion number of $G - u$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph, and let $u \in V_{allEven}(G)$. Then $mp(G - u) = n$.*

Proof. Since $u \in V_{allEven}(G)$, then $\delta(G - u) = n$, and $mp(G - u) \leqslant n$ by Lemma 1.1. Next we will show, by induction on n, that $mp(G - u) \geqslant n$, i.e. for any edge set $F \subseteq E(G - u)$ with $|F| \leqslant n - 1$, $(G - u) - F$ has a perfect matching.

Suppose $F \subseteq E(G - u)$ with $|F| \leqslant n - 1$. When $n = 1$, the set $F = \emptyset$ and $G - u$ has a perfect matching by Lemma 2.2. Now assume $n \geqslant 2$. Let $f \in F$ with position d for some $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let $u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1})$. Then $G = G_d[0] \cup G_d[1] \cup \cdots \cup G_d[k_d - 1] \cup E_d(G)$, $f \in E_d(G)$ and $u \in V_{allEven}(G_d[u_d])$. Let x_s be an even number

for each $s \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\} \setminus \{d\}$. For each $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,k_d-1\}$, let $G_j = G_d[j]$, $F_j = F \cap E(G_j) \subseteq F \setminus \{f\}$ and $v_j = (x_0, x_1 \ldots, x_{d-1}, j, x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in V(G_j)$. Then $P = v_0 v_1 \ldots v_{k_d-1}$ is a path of length an even number $k_d - 1$, G_j is an odd order $(n-1)$-grid graph, $|F_j| \leqslant n-2$ and $v_j \in V_{allEven}(G_j)$. For each $s \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\} \setminus \{d\}$, $k_s \geqslant 3$ is odd and $0 \leqslant x_s \leqslant k_s - 1$ is even. Then x_s has at least two choices. So there are at least $2^{n-1} > n-1 \geqslant |F|$ choices of the path $P = v_0 v_1 \ldots v_{k_d-1}$, and we can choose one which is disjoint with F. Let $P = v_0 v_1 \ldots v_{k_d-1}$ be such a path that $E(P) \cap F = \emptyset$. Since u_d is even, we have $v_{u_d} \in V_{allEven}(P)$. Then $P - v_{u_d}$ has a perfect matching M_P by Lemma 2.2. By induction hypothesis, $(G_{u_d} - u) - F_{u_d}$ has a perfect matching M_{u,u_d}, and $(G_j - v_j) - F_j$ has a perfect matching M_u^j for each $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,k_d-1\} \setminus \{u_d\}$. Then $M = M_u^0 \cup M_u^1 \cup \cdots \cup M_u^{u_d-1} \cup M_{u,u_d} \cup M_u^{u_d+1} \cup \cdots \cup M_u^{k_d-1} \cup M_P$ is a perfect matching of $(G-u) - F$. □

Let

$$V_e(G) = \left\{ u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) \in V(G) \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i \text{ is even} \right\}$$

and

$$V_o(G) = \left\{ u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) \in V(G) \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i \text{ is odd} \right\}.$$

The following two lemmas show that G has an almost perfect matching M_u which uncovers the vertex $u \in V_e(G)$, and a nice partition of $V(G)$ into $V_e(G)$ and $V_o(G)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph, and let $u \in V_e(G)$. Then G has an almost perfect matching M_u such that M_u uncovers u.*

Proof. Suppose $u = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}) \in V_e(G)$ and let n_o be the number of odd numbers in u_0, u_1, …, u_{n-1}. Then $n_o \leqslant n$. We will prove this lemma by induction on n_o. As $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i$ is even, so n_o is even. The base case that the number of odd numbers is zero, holds by Lemma 2.2. So we suppose that $n_o \geqslant 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the numbers $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n_o-1}$ are odd and the numbers $u_{n_o}, \ldots, u_{n-1}$ are even.

Now G has a partition at position 0: $G = G_0[0] \cup G_0[1] \cup \cdots \cup G_0[u_0-1] \cup G_0[u_0] \cup G_0[u_0+1] \cup \cdots \cup G_0[k_0-1] \cup E_0(G)$. Then $u \in V(G_0[u_0])$ and $M_0 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{u_0-3,u_0-2}(G) \cup E_0^{u_0+2,u_0+3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$ is a matching of G such that M_0 uncovers subgraphs $G_0[u_0-1]$, $G_0[u_0]$ and $G_0[u_0+1]$ of G. These three subgraphs are shown in Figure 2(a).



(a) Partition of G at position 0

(b) Partition of H at position 1

Figure 2: Constructions of matching M_0^S and almost perfect matching M_u.

Let $H = G_0[u_0]$. So $H = \{u_0\}\square P_{k_1}\square P_{k_2}\square\cdots\square P_{k_{n-1}}$ is an $(n-1)$-grid graph and H has a partition at position 1: $H = H_1[0]\cup H_1[1]\cup\cdots\cup H_1[u_1-1]\cup H_1[u_1]\cup H_1[u_1+1]\cup\cdots\cup H_1[k_1-1]\cup E_1(H)$. Then $u\in V(H_1[u_1])$ and $M_1 = E_1^{0,1}(H)\cup E_1^{2,3}(H)\cup\cdots\cup E_1^{u_1-3,u_1-2}(H)\cup E_1^{u_1+2,u_1+3}(H)\cup\cdots\cup E_1^{k_1-2,k_1-1}(H)$ is a matching of H such that M_1 uncovers subgraphs $H_1[u_1-1]$, $H_1[u_1]$ and $H_1[u_1+1]$ of H. These three subgraphs are shown in Figure 2(b).

Now $H_1[u_1] = \{u_0\}\square\{u_1\}\square P_{k_2}\square\cdots\square P_{k_{n-1}}$ is an $(n-2)$-grid graph. The number of odd numbers in $u_2,\ldots,u_{n-1}$ is n_o-2 and $\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}u_i$ is even. So $u\in V_e(H_1[u_1])$. By the induction hypothesis, we can find an almost perfect matching M_{01} of $H_1[u_1]$ such that M_{01} uncovers u. Let $v = (u_0, u_1-1, v_2,\ldots,v_{n-1})\in V(H)$ and let $w = (u_0, u_1+1, v_2,\ldots,v_{n-1})\in V(H)$ such that v_i is even for each $i\in\{2,\ldots,n-1\}$. Then $v\in V_{allEven}\Big(H_1[u_1-1]\Big)$ and $w\in V_{allEven}\Big(H_1[u_1+1]\Big)$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we can find an almost perfect matching M_1^- of $H_1[u_1-1]$ and an almost perfect matching M_1^+ of $H_1[u_1+1]$ such that M_1^- uncovers v and M_1^+ uncovers w. Let $S = \{u,v,w\}$. Then $M_0^S = M_1\cup M_1^-\cup M_{01}\cup M_1^+$ is a matching of $H = G_0[u_0]$ such that M_0^S uncovers S.

We go back to the partition of G at position 0. Let $v^- = (u_0-1, u_1-1, v_2,\ldots,v_{n-1})\in V(G)$ be the corresponding vertex of $v\in V(G_0[u_0])$ in $G_0[u_0-1]$ and let $w^+ = (u_0+1, u_1+1, v_2,\ldots,v_{n-1})\in V(G)$ be the corresponding vertex of $w\in V(G_0[u_0])$ in $G_0[u_0+1]$. Then $v^-\in V_{allEven}\Big(G_0[u_0-1]\Big)$ and $w^+\in V_{allEven}\Big(G_0[u_0+1]\Big)$. By Lemma 2.2, we can find an almost perfect matching M_0^- of $G_0[u_0-1]$ and an almost perfect matching M_0^+ of $G_0[u_0+1]$ such that M_0^- uncovers v^- and M_0^+ uncovers w^+.

Hence $M_u = M_0\cup M_0^-\cup M_0^S\cup M_0^+\cup\{vv^-, ww^+\}$ is an almost perfect matching of G which uncovers u. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be an odd order $(k_0,k_1,\ldots,k_{n-1};n)$-grid graph. Then G has a bipartite partition $V(G) = V_e(G)\cup V_o(G)$, $V_e(G)\cap V_o(G) = \emptyset$ and $|V_e(G)| = |V_o(G)|+1$. If $u\in V_o(G)$,*

then $G-u$ has no perfect matching.

Proof. Let $V_e = V_e(G)$ and $V_o = V_o(G)$. For any vertex $v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \in V(G)$, the number $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v_i$ is either even or odd, but it cannot be both even and odd at the same time. Hence $V_e \cup V_o = V(G)$ and $V_e \cap V_o = \emptyset$. Let $u, v \in V_e$(or V_o), then $uv \notin E(G)$ obviously. Then G is a bipartite graph with bipartition (V_e, V_o).

Assume $u \in V_e$. By Lemma 2.4, we can find an almost perfect matching M_u which uncovers u. For any edge $f \in M_u$, one of the endpoints of f must belong to V_e and the other belongs to V_o. As M_u is an almost perfect matching and it uncovers a vertex in V_e, then we have $|V_e| = |M_u| + 1$ and $|V_o| = |M_u|$. Hence $|V_e| = |V_o| + 1$.

Suppose $u \in V_o(G)$ and $G-u$ has a perfect matching M. Then $|V_e| = |M|$ and $|V_o| = |M| + 1$. So $|V_e| + 1 = |V_o|$. This is a contradiction. □

3 Matching preclusion for even order n-grid graphs

We first give the matching preclusion number of even order n-grid graphs.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be an even order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. Then $mp(G) = n$.*

Proof. Since $\delta(G) = n$, we have $mp(G) \leqslant n$ by Lemma 1.1. By induction on n, we will show that $mp(G) \geqslant n$, i.e. for any edge set $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leqslant n-1$, $G-F$ has a perfect matching. Let $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leqslant n-1$. If $n = 1$, then $F = \emptyset$ and G, a path on even number of vertices, has a perfect matching. Now suppose $n \geqslant 2$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $n(G)/k_0$ is even. Then $G_0[j]$ is an even order $(n-1)$-grid graph for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$. There are two cases.

First suppose $F \subseteq E(G_0[j])$ for some $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$. If k_0 is even, then $M_0 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$ is a perfect matching of $G-F$. When k_0 is odd, we consider two possibilities for j. If $j \neq 0$, then $M_1 \cup M^0$ is a perfect matching of $G-F$ where $M_1 = E_0^{1,2}(G) \cup E_0^{3,4}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$ and M^0 is a perfect matching of $G_0[0]$. If $j \neq k_0 - 1$, then $M_2 \cup M^{k_0-1}$ is a perfect matching of $G-F$ where $M_2 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-3,k_0-2}(G)$ and M^{k_0-1} is a perfect matching of $G_0[k_0-1]$.

The second case is that $F \nsubseteq E(G_0[j])$ for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$. For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$, let $F_j = F \cap E(G_0[j])$. Then $|F_j| \leqslant n-2$. By induction hypothesis, we have $mp(G_0[j]) \geqslant n-1 > |F_j|$. Then $G_0[j]-F_j$ has a perfect matching $M[j]$. Hence $M[0] \cup M[1] \cup \cdots \cup M[k_0-1]$ is a perfect matching of $G-F$. □

In the following, we analyze the structure of optimal matching preclusion sets of even order n-grid graphs. Let G be an even order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. For $n = 1$, G is a path P_k of length $k-1$ where $k = k_0$ is even, and $mp(G) = 1$. Then for any optimal matching preclusion set $F \subseteq E(G)$, $P_k - F$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union $P_s \cup P_t$ of two paths P_s and P_t for some positive odd numbers s and t with $s+t = k$. The structure of optimal matching preclusion sets of G are in Subsection 3.1 if $n = 2$, and in Subsection 3.2 if $n \geqslant 3$.

3.1 Even order 2-grid graphs

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be an even order $(k_0, k_1; 2)$-grid graph where $k_0 \geqslant 2$ is even. Let F be an optimal matching preclusion set of G. Then either F is trivial or $k_1 = 3$ and the endpoints of edges of F are $(u_0, 0)$, $(u_0+1, 0)$, $(u_0, 2)$ and $(u_0+1, 2)$ for some even number $u_0 \in \{0, 2, \ldots, k_0 - 2\}$.*

Proof. Let $F = \{f_0, f_1\}$ and let $M_0 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$. Then $F \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$. As if $F \cap M_0 = \emptyset$, then M_0 is a perfect matching of $G - F$, which is a contradiction. Then $|F \cap M_0| = 1$ or 2.

We begin with $|F \cap M_0| = 1$. We may assume that $f_0 \in F \cap M_0$ and $f_1 \notin M_0$, then we first show that f_0 and f_1 have a common endpoint. If not, then there is one $(f_0; 4)$-cycle C_0 such that $f_1 \notin C_0$. So C_0 is an M_0-alternating cycle and $M_0 \Delta C_0$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$ which is a contradiction. Now let $f_0 = u_j u_{j+1}$, $u_j \in V(G_0[j])$ and $u_{j+1} \in V(G_0[j+1])$ where j is an even number such that $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 2$. If $f_1 \notin E(G_0[j])$ and $f_1 \notin E(G_0[j+1])$, then $f_1 \notin C_0$ and $M_0 \Delta C_0$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$ which is a contradiction. So $f_1 \in E(G_0[j])$ or $f_1 \in E(G_0[j+1])$.

Suppose $f_1 \in E(G_0[j])$. Then $f_1 \notin E(G_0[j+1])$ and u_j is the common endpoint of f_0 and f_1. If $d_{G_0[j]}(u_j) = 2$, then there is exactly one $(f_0; 4)$-cycle C_1 such that $f_1 \notin C_1$. So $M_0 \Delta C_1$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$ which is a contradiction. So $d_{G_0[j]}(u_j) = 1$ and u_j is an isolated vertex of $G_0[j] - f_1$. Let $u_j = (j, h)$. Then $h = 0$ or $k_1 - 1$. Now we assume $j \neq 0$. Then $k_0 \geqslant 4$. Let $M_0' = E_0^{1,2}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-3,k_0-2}$. Then $F \cap M_0' = \emptyset$, $M_0 \cap M_0' = \emptyset$ and the sets M_0 and M_0' form a partition of $E_0(G)$. For each $0 \leqslant j_0 \leqslant k_0 - 1$, the subgraph $G_0[j_0] = \{j_0\} \square P_{k_1}$, and for each $0 \leqslant j_1 \leqslant k_1 - 1$, the subgraph $G_1[j_1] = P_{k_0} \square \{j_1\}$. If $h = 0$, then $E_{0,k_1-1} = M_0' \cup E_{010}$ contains a perfect matching M_F^0 of $G - F$ where $E_{010} = E(\{0\} \square P_{k_1}) \cup E(P_{k_0} \square \{k_1 - 1\}) \cup E(\{k_0 - 1\} \square P_{k_1})$. Next we construct M_F^0. Since $F \cap E_{0,k_1-1} = \emptyset$, any perfect matching contained in E_{0,k_1-1} is a perfect matching of $G - F$.



(a) The $(8, 6; 2)$-grid graph G, and the perfect matching $M_F^0 = M_0'' \cup M_P$ of $G - F$ when $|F \cap M_0| = 1$, where the edges with $\Diamond$ are in M_0'' and the edges with $\square$ are in M_P.



(b) The $(6, 3; 2)$-grid graph G, one nontrivial optimal matching preclusion set $F = \{f_0, f_1\}$ and the resulting graph $G - F$.

Figure 3: Examples of the $(k_0, k_1; 2)$-grid graph where $k_0 \geqslant 2$ is even.

Let $V_{010} = V(\{0\}\square P_{k_1}) \cup V(P_{k_0}\square\{k_1 - 1\}) \cup V(\{k_0 - 1\}\square P_{k_1})$. Then the induced subgraph of G on the set V_{010} is a path P with $k_0 + 2(k_1 - 1)$ vertices. Here $k_0 + 2(k_1 - 1)$ is even, $V(P) = V_{010}$ and $E(P) = E_{010}$. The path P has a perfect matching $M_P \subset E_{010}$. Let $M_0'' = M_0' \setminus E(P_{k_0}\square\{k_1 - 1\})$. Then $M_0'' \subset M_0'$ is a matching of G such that M_0'' uncovers V_{010}. Hence $M_F^0 = M_0'' \cup M_P \subset E_{0,k_1-1}$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. One example of the construction of M_F^0 is in Figure 3(a). If $h = k_1 - 1$, then by a similar way as in the case $h = 0$, we can construct a perfect matching $M_F^{k_1-1}$ of $G - F$ such that $M_F^{k_1-1} \subset E_{0,0} = M_0' \cup E(\{0\}\square P_{k_1}) \cup E(P_{k_0}\square\{0\}) \cup E(\{k_0 - 1\}\square P_{k_1})$. Thus $j = 0$. The vertex degree of u_j is $d_G(u_j) = 2$. Then u_j is an isolated vertex of $G - F$, i.e. the optimal matching preclusion set F is trivial.

By the same argument as in the last paragraph, if $f_1 \in E(G_0[j+1])$, then $f_1 \notin E(G_0[j])$, u_{j+1} is the common endpoint of f_0 and f_1, $j + 1 = k_0 - 1$, $d_G(u_{j+1}) = 2$ and u_{j+1} is an isolated vertex of $G - F$, i.e. the optimal matching preclusion set F is trivial.

Now suppose $|F \cap M_0| = 2$. Let $x = (x_0, x_1)$ and $x^+ = (x_0 + 1, x_1)$ be the endpoints of f_0, and let $y = (y_0, y_1)$ and $y^+ = (y_0 + 1, y_1)$ be the endpoints of f_1. Then x_0 and y_0 are even. If $x_0 \neq y_0$, then there exist a $(f_0; 4)$-cycle C_0' and a $(f_1; 4)$-cycle C_1' such that $C_0' \cap C_1' = \emptyset$. Thus $M_0 \Delta C_0' \Delta C_1'$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. This is a contradiction. So $x_0 = y_0$ and $x_1 \neq y_1$. If $k_1 - 1 \notin \{x_1, y_1\}$, then by the same construction, $M_F^0 \subset E_{0,k_1-1}$ (defined above) is a perfect matching of $G - F$. If $0 \notin \{x_1, y_1\}$, then similarly, $M_F^{k_1-1} \subset E_{0,0}$ (defined above) is a perfect matching of $G - F$. Thus $\{x_1, y_1\} = \{0, k_1 - 1\}$. If $k_1 \geqslant 2$ is even, then $M_e = E_1^{0,1}(G) \cup E_1^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_1^{k_1-2,k_1-1}(G)$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. So

$k_1 \geqslant 3$ is odd. If $k_1 \geqslant 5$, then $M'_o = E_1^{0,1}(G) \cup E_1^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_1^{k_1-5,k_1-4}(G) \cup E_1^{k_1-2,k_1-1}(G)$ is a matching of G such that M'_o uncovers $G_1[k_1-3]$, and $F \cap E(G_1[k_1-3]) = \emptyset$. The subgraph $G_1[k_1-3]$ is a path with even number k_0 vertices. So $G_1[k_1-3]$ has a perfect matching P_o. Thus $M_o = M'_o \cup P_o$ is a perfect matching of $G-F$, which is a contradiction. So $k_1 = 3$. Now the endpoints of edges of F are $(x_0, 0)$, $(x_0+1, 0)$, $(x_0, 2)$ and $(x_0+1, 2)$, where $0 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant k_0 - 2$, and x_0 is even. One example of such kind of optimal matching preclusion set is shown in Figure 3(b). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be an even order $(k_0, 3; 2)$-grid graph where $k_0 \geqslant 2$ is even. Let $F = \{x_0y_0, x_1y_1\}$ where $x_0 = (u_0, 0)$, $y_0 = (u_0+1, 0)$, $x_1 = (u_0, 2)$ and $y_1 = (u_0+1, 2)$ for some even number $u_0 \in \{0, 2, \ldots, k_0-2\}$. Then F is an optimal matching preclusion set of G.*

Proof. The graph $H = G-F$ has a bridge $f = xy$ where $x = (u_0, 1)$ and $y = (u_0+1, 1)$. The connected components H_1 and H_2 of $H - f$ are odd order 2-grid graphs where $x \in V(H_1)$ and $y \in V(H_2)$. Since $x \in V_o(H_1)$, there exists no almost perfect matching of H_1 which uncovers x by Lemma 2.5. Suppose M is a perfect matching of H. Then $f \in M$. So $M_1 = M \cap E(H_1)$ is an almost perfect matching of H_1 such that it uncovers x. This is a contradiction. Thus H has no perfect matching. □

Let G be a $(k_0, k_1; 2)$-grid graph where $k_0 \geqslant 2$ is even. Let $M_0 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$. Then M_0 is a perfect matching of G. Let $F = \{f_0, f_1\} \subset E(G)$. In the proof of Lemma 3.2, we use $(f_0; 4)$-cycle and $(f_1; 4)$-cycle frequently. When we consider an $(f; 4)$-cycle C for some $f \in F \cap M_0$, it follows that C is an M_0-alternating cycle, $f \in C$ and for any $f' \in F \setminus \{f\}$, $f' \notin C$, i.e. $\{f\} = C \cap F \neq \emptyset$, and $C \cap F \subseteq M_0 \cap F$. Thus $M_0 \Delta C$ is also a perfect matching of G, and it contains less edges in F than that of M_0, i.e. $F \cap (M_0 \Delta C) = (F \cap M_0) \setminus \{f\}$ is a proper subset of $F \cap M_0$. In general, we give the definition of the (F, M)-*nice cycle* and some propositions of the nice cycle.

Definition 3.4 (The (F, M)-nice cycle)**.** *Let G be a graph. Let $F \subseteq E(G)$ and M a matching of G such that $F \cap M \neq \emptyset$. An M-alternating cycle C is called an (F, M)-nice cycle if $\emptyset \neq C \cap F \subseteq M$.*

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a graph, let $F \subseteq E(G)$, and let $M \subseteq E(G)$ be a matching of G such that $F \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Let C be an (F, M)-nice cycle. Then $|M \Delta C| = |M|$, $(M \Delta C) \cap F = (M \cap F) \setminus (C \cap F)$ and so $|(M \Delta C) \cap F| = |M \cap F| - |C \cap F| < |M \cap F|$.*

Proof. The cycle C is M-alternating, then $|M\Delta C| = |M|$. Since $C \cap F \subseteq M \cap F$, we have $(M\Delta C) \cap F \subseteq (M \cup C) \cap F = (M \cap F) \cup (C \cap F) = M \cap F$. Suppose $f \in C \cap F$. Then $f \in C, F, M$. So $f \notin M\Delta C$ and $f \notin (M\Delta C) \cap F$. Hence $(M\Delta C) \cap F \subseteq (M \cap F) \setminus (C \cap F)$. Let $g \in (M \cap F) \setminus (C \cap F)$. Then $g \in M, F$ and $g \notin C$. So $g \in M\Delta C$ and $g \in (M\Delta C) \cap F$. Thus $(M\Delta C) \cap F \subseteq (M\Delta C) \cap F$. □

Let G be a graph and let $F \subseteq E(G)$. Let $f \in F$ be an edge of F. Then we call f an *F-fault edge*. Let $g \in E(G) \setminus F$ be an edge of $G - F$. Then we call g an *F-good edge*. Let $M \subseteq E(G)$ be a matching of G such that $F \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Let C be an (F, M)-nice cycle. By Lemma 3.5, the matching $M\Delta C$ of G contains less F-fault edges than that of M.

Let $n \geqslant 2$ and let G be a $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. Let $f = uv$ be an edge of G and let $C = uvv'u'u$ be a $(f, d, j; 4)$-cycle as defined at the end of Subsection 2.2. Let M be a matching of G such that $E_d^{j,j+1}(G) \subseteq M$, and let $F \subseteq E(G)$ such that $f \in C \cap F \subseteq M \cap F$. Then C is an (F, M)-nice cycle. Here $C \cap F$ has one or two F-fault edges. Let $f' = u'v'$. If $f' \notin F$, then $C \cap F = \{f\}$. If $f' \in F$, then $C \cap F = \{f, f'\}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let G be a graph, let $F \subseteq E(G)$, and let $M \subseteq E(G)$ be a matching of G such that $F \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Let $s \geqslant 1$ and let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ be edge-disjoint (F, M)-nice cycles such that $F \cap M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} C_i$. Let $M_\Delta = M\Delta C_1\Delta C_2\Delta \cdots \Delta C_s$. Then $|M_\Delta| = |M|$ and $M_\Delta \cap F = \emptyset$, i.e. M_Δ contains no F-fault edges. In particular, if M is a perfect matching (or almost perfect matching, respectively), then M_Δ is a perfect matching (or almost perfect matching, respectively) of $G - F$.*

Proof. Since $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ are edge-disjoint M-alternating cycles, the matching M_Δ has a partition $M_\Delta = \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} N_i$ where $N_0 = M \setminus C$, $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} C_i$ and $N_i = C_i \setminus M$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. Now suppose $f \in M_\Delta \cap F = \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} N_i \cap F$. Then $f \in N_i \cap F$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. If $i = 0$, then $f \in M$ and $f \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} C_i$. So $f \in M \cap F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} C_i$. This is a contradiction. If $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, then $f \in C_i$ and $f \notin M$. So $f \in C_i \cap F \subseteq M \cap F \subseteq M$, which is a contradiction. Thus $M_\Delta \cap F = \emptyset$. □

The following corollary follows directly by Lemma 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be a graph, let $F \subseteq E(G)$. If there exists a perfect matching (or almost perfect matching, respectively) M of G and edge-disjoint (F, M)-nice cycles $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_s$ such that $F \cap M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} C_i$, then F is not a matching preclusion set of G.*

Let G be a graph, let $F \subseteq E(G)$, and let $M \subseteq E(G)$ be a matching of G such that $F \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Now we divide edges of $F \cap M$ into two classes through (F, M)-nice cycles. Let $f \in F \cap M$ be an F-fault edge. If there exists an (F, M)-nice cycle C such that $f \in C$, then the F-fault edge f is called an *(F, M)-nice-fault edge*. Let $g \in F \cap M$ be an F-fault edge. If there has no (F, M)-nice cycles containing g, then the fault edge g is called an *(F, M)-bad-fault edge*.

3.2 Even order n-grid graphs with $n \geqslant 3$

Let G be a $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. Let $n_e(G)$ denote the number of even numbers in $k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}$. Then $1 \leqslant n_e(G) \leqslant n$ if the order $n(G)$ is even. First, we deal the simplest case that when $n_e(G) = 1$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $n \geqslant 3$ and let G be a $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph where $k_0 \geqslant 2$ is even and $k_j \geqslant 3$ is odd for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$. Let $M_0 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$ and let $F \subseteq E(G)$ such that $|F| \leqslant n$, $F \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $d_F(f) \leqslant n-2$ for $f \in F \cap M_0$. Then $G - F$ has a perfect matching.*

Proof. For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$, let $F_j = F \cap E(G_0[j])$. Since $F \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$, then $|F_j| \leqslant n-1$. First let $|F_j| \leqslant n-2$ for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$. Since the size $|V_{allEven}(G_0[j])| = \frac{k_1+1}{2}\frac{k_2+1}{2} \cdots \frac{k_{n-1}+1}{2} \geqslant 2^{n-1} > n$, we can choose a vertex $u_j \in V_{allEven}(G_0[j])$ such that $P = u_0 u_1 \cdots u_{k_0-1}$ is a path of length $k_0 - 1$ such that F uncovers P. So $|F_j| < n - 1 = mp(G_0[j] - u_j)$ and $G_0[j] - F_j$ has an almost perfect matching $M[j]$ which uncovers u_j. Let M_P be an almost perfect matching of P. Then $M[1] \cup M[2] \cup \cdots \cup M[k_0 - 1] \cup M_P$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$.

Now let $|F_j| = n - 1$ for some $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$. Then $|F \setminus F_j| \leqslant 1$. Since $F \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$, we have $\emptyset \neq F \setminus F_j \subseteq M_0$. Let $\{f\} = F \setminus F_j$. Then $f \in F \cap M_0$. If $G_0[j] - F_j$ has an isolated vertex x, then all the edges in F_j are incident with x, $d_{G_0[j]}(x) = n - 1$ and $x \in V_\delta(G_0[j]) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G_0[j])$. If x is incident with f, then $d_F(f) = n - 1 > n - 2$ which is a contradiction. So x is not incident with f, and there exists an $(f; 4)$-cycle C such that $F_j \cap C = \emptyset$. Thus $M_0 \Delta C$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. Suppose $G_0[j] - F_j$ has no isolated vertices. Then there exists an $(f; 4)$-cycle C' such that $F_j \cap C' = \emptyset$. Hence $M_0 \Delta C'$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $n \geqslant 3$ and let G be an $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. If $n_e(G) = 1$, then G is super matched.*

Proof. Let $F \subseteq E(G)$ be an optimal matching preclusion set of G, i.e. $|F| = n$ and $G - F$ has no perfect matching. We need to prove that F is trivial, i.e. $G-F$ has an isolated vertex. Without loss of generality, we may assume k_0 is even. Then $k_j \geqslant 3$ is odd for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$. Let $M_0 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$. Then M_0 is a perfect matching of G and $M_0 \cap F \neq \emptyset$. If $d_F(f) \leqslant n-2$ for any $f \in F \cap M_0$, then by Lemma 3.8, $G - F$ has a perfect matching. This is a contradiction. So there exists $f \in F \cap M_0$ such that $d_F(f) = n-1$. Let f be such an edge. Then $F \cap M_0 = \{f\}$ and other F-fault edges are incident with f. We assume that $f = u_j u_{j+1}$ for some $u_j \in V(G_0[j])$ and $u_{j+1} \in V(G_0[j+1])$, where j is even and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 2$.

For $i = j$ or $j+1$, let $F_i = F \cap E(G_0[i])$, and $P_i = \{d \mid d \text{ is the position of } e,\ e \in F_i\}$. Then $F_j \cap F_{j+1} = \emptyset$, $F_j \cup F_{j+1} \subseteq F \setminus \{f\}$, $|P_j| \leqslant |F_j|$, $|P_{j+1}| \leqslant |F_{j+1}|$, $0 \notin P_j \cup P_{j+1}$ and $P_j \cup P_{j+1} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. If $P_j \cup P_{j+1} \neq \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, then for any position $d \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\} \setminus (P_j \cup P_{j+1})$, we can find an $(f, 0, j, d; 4)$-cycle C such that $M_0 \Delta C$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. So $P_j \cup P_{j+1} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, $P_j \cap P_{j+1} = \emptyset$, $|P_j| = |F_j|$, $|P_{j+1}| = |F_{j+1}|$ and $F_j \cup F_{j+1} = F \setminus \{f\}$. If $F_j \neq \emptyset$ and $F_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$, then $|F_j|, |F_{j+1}| \leqslant n-2$. Then by the same argument as in the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 3.8, $G - F$ has a perfect matching. So one of F_j and F_{j+1} is empty.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $F_{j+1} = \emptyset$. Then $P_j = \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, $F_j = F \setminus \{f\}$, and all the edges in F are incident with u_j. If $d_{G_0[j]}(u_j) > n-1$, then for some position $d \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, there are two edges incident with u_j at position d, in which one is not in F. So we can find an $(f, 0, j, d; 4)$-cycle C' such that $M_0 \Delta C'$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. Hence $d_{G_0[j]}(u_j) = n-1$ and $u_j \in V_\delta(G_0[j]) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G_0[j])$ is an isolated vertex of $G_0[j] - F_j$.



Figure 4: The construction of M_I.

If $k_0 = 2$, then $j = 0$, $d_G(u_j) = n$, and u_j is an isolated vertex of $G - F$. Now we assume that $k_0 \geqslant 4$. Suppose $j > 0$. Then $2 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 2$. Let $I = \{j-2, j-1, j, j+1\}$.

Then we can find a perfect matching $M_F = M_0' \cup M_I$ of $G - F$. The following is the construction of M_F. Let $M_0' = M_0 \setminus (E_0^{j-2,j-1}(G) \cup E_0^{j,j+1}(G))$, then M_0' is a matching of G such that M_0' uncovers subgraphs $G_0[j-2], G_0[j-1], G_0[j], G_0[j+1]$. These four subgraphs and the construction of M_I are shown in Figure 4. For each $i \in I$, let $x_i \in V_{allEven}(G_0[i])$ such that $x_{j-2}x_{j-1}x_jx_{j+1}$ is a path whose edges are at position 0. By Lemma 2.2, $G_0[i]$ has an almost perfect matching M^i such that M^i uncovers x_i. Since $n \geqslant 3$ and $k_i \geqslant 3$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have $|V_\delta(G_0[j])| = 2^{n-1} \geqslant 4 > 1$ and we can choose $x_j \in V_\delta(G_0[j]) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G_0[j])$ such that $x_j \neq u_j$ and so x_j is not adjacent to u_j. Then $x_{j+1} \neq u_{j+1}$ and x_{j+1} is not adjacent to u_{j+1}. Let $u_jw_j \in M^j$ for some $w_j \in V(G_0[j])$. Let $g = u_ju_{j-1}$ and $h = w_jw_{j-1}$ be edges at position 0 where $u_{j-1}, w_{j-1} \in V(G_0[j-1])$. Then we can choose M^{j-1} (a copy of M^j) such that $u_{j-1}w_{j-1} \in M^{j-1}$. Let $M_I' = M^{j-2} \cup \Big(M^{j-1} \setminus \{u_{j-1}w_{j-1}\}\Big) \cup \Big(M^j \setminus \{u_jw_j\}\Big) \cup M^{j+1}$ and let $S = \{x_{j-2}, x_{j-1}, x_j, x_{j+1}, u_{j-1}, u_j, w_{j-1}, w_j\}$. Then $M_I' \cap F = \emptyset$ and M_I' is a matching of G such that M_I' covers vertices in $V(G_0[i]) \setminus S$ for each $i \in I$. Let $M_I = M_I' \cup \{g, h\} \cup \{x_{j-2}x_{j-1}, x_jx_{j+1}\}$. Then $M_F = M_0' \cup M_I$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. Hence $j = 0$. So $d_G(u_j) = n$ and u_j is an isolated vertex of $G - F$. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let $n \geqslant 3$ and let G be an even order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. Then G is super matched.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume k_0 is even. Let $M = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$. Then M is a perfect matching of G. Here we prove that G is super matched by induction on $n_e(G)$. When $n_e(G) = 1$, the statement holds by Theorem 3.9. Now we suppose $n_e(G) \geqslant 2$. Let F be an optimal matching preclusion set of G, i.e. $|F| = n$ and $G - F$ has no perfect matching. Then $M \cap F \neq \emptyset$. We need to show that F is trivial, i.e. $G - F$ has an isolated vertex.

Let $f = x_kx_{k+1} \in M \cap F$ for some $x_k \in V(G_0[k])$, $x_{k+1} \in V(G_0[k+1])$, where k is even and $0 \leqslant k \leqslant k_0 - 2$. If for each $0 \leqslant s \leqslant k_0 - 1$, $G_0[s] - F$ has a perfect matching M_s, then $M_0 \cup M_1 \cup \cdots \cup M_{k_0-1}$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. So there exists $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_0 - 1\}$ such that $G_0[t] - F$ has no perfect matching, i.e. $F_t = F \cap E(G_0[t]) \subseteq F \setminus \{f\}$ is a matching preclusion set of $G_0[t]$. The subgraph $G_0[t]$ is an even order $(k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n-1)$-grid graph. Let $n_e(G_0[t])$ be the number of even numbers in $k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}$. Then $1 \leqslant n_e(G_0[t]) < n_e(G)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $mp(G_0[t]) = n - 1$. So $|F_t| \geqslant n - 1$. Since $|F_t| \leqslant n - 1$, we have $|F_t| = n - 1$ and F_t is an optimal matching preclusion set of $G_0[t]$. By induction hypothesis, the optimal matching preclusion set F_t is trivial, i.e. $G_0[t] - F$ has an isolated vertex u with vertex degree $d_{G_0[t]}(u) = n - 1$.

Now for each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_0 - 1\} \setminus \{t\}$, $F_j = E(G_0[j]) \cap F = \emptyset$ and $G_0[j] - F_j$ has a perfect matching $M[j]$. If $u \notin \{x_k, x_{k+1}\}$, then we can find an $(f; 4)$-cycle C such that $M \Delta C$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. So $u \in \{x_k, x_{k+1}\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $u = x_k$, then $t = k$. If $k \neq 0$, then $M[0] \cup M[1] \cup \ldots \cup M[k-2] \cup E_0^{k-1,k}(G) \cup M[k+1] \cup \ldots \cup M[k_0 - 1]$ is a perfect matching of $G - F$. This is a contradiction. Thus $k = t = 0$. So $d_G(u) = n$ and u is an isolated vertex of $G - F$. □

Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.10 imply the even order part of our main theorem.

Theorem 3.11. *Let G be an even order n-grid graph. Then $mp(G) = n$. If $n \geqslant 3$, then G is super matched.*

In particular, the $(2, 2, \ldots, 2; n)$-grid graph is the hypercube Q_n. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and Theorem 3.10 imply immediately the following result.

Corollary 3.12 ([3])**.** *$mp(Q_n) = n$ and Q_n is super matched.*

4 Matching preclusion for odd order n-grid graphs

Let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid. Then $k_i \geqslant 3$ is odd for each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. By using results of matching preclusion for even order n-grid graphs, we get matching preclusion for odd order n-grid graphs in this section.

By Lemma 2.4, for any vertex $u \in V_e(G)$, we can find an almost perfect matching M_u of G which uncovers u. By Lemma 2.5, for any vertex $u \in V_o(G)$, the graph $G - u$ has no perfect matching. Then the set of edges incident with a vertex in $V_o(G)$ is a matching preclusion set. In the proof of Theorem 4.1, we may follow the summary of this proof in Figure 5.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. Then $mp(G) = n+1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, $mp(G) \leqslant \min\{d_G(v) \mid v \in V_o(G)\} = n + 1$. Next by induction on n, we will show that $mp(G) > n$, i.e. for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leqslant n$, $G - F$ has an almost perfect matching.

If $n = 1$, G is the path P_{k_0} where $k_0 \geqslant 3$ is odd. Then for any $F \subset E(G)$ with $|F| \leqslant 1$, it is easy to find an almost perfect matching in $G - F$.

Now suppose $n \geqslant 2$. Let $F \subseteq E(G)$ such that $|F| \leqslant n$. First, we assume $G - F$ has an isolated vertex u. So $d_G(u) \geqslant \delta(G) = n$ and $d_G(u) \leqslant |F| \leqslant n$. Then $|F| = d_G(u) = n$,


$mp(G) > n$
$n = 1$
$n \geqslant 2$
$G - F$ has an isolated vertex u
$G - F$ has no isolated vertex
$\Downarrow$
$F_{j2} \neq \emptyset$ for all $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$
$F_{i2} = \emptyset$ for some $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$
$\Downarrow$
$|F_{i1}| < n$
$|F_{i1}| = n$
$H_{i1} - F$ has an islolated vertex v
$H_{i1} - F$ has no islolated vertex
$\Downarrow$
$n \geqslant 3$
$n = 2$

Figure 5: Summary of the proof of Theorem 4.1.

all the edges in F are incident with u and $u \in V_\delta(G) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G)$. By Lemma 2.2, G has an almost perfect matching M_u which uncovers u. Then M_u is also an almost perfect matching of $G - F$.

Now we assume that $G - F$ has no isolated vertex. For each $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, let $H_{j1} = G - G_j[k_j - 1]$, $H_{j2} = G_j[k_j - 1]$, $F_{j1} = F \cap E(H_{j1})$ and $F_{j2} = F \cap E(H_{j2})$. Then H_{j1} is an even order n-grid graph, H_{j2} is an odd order $(n-1)$-grid graph, the sets $V(H_{j1})$ and $V(H_{j2})$ form a partition of $V(G)$, and the sets F_{j1}, $F'_j = F \cap E_j^{k_j-2,k_j-1}(G)$ and F_{j2} form a partition of F. These notations are shown in Figure 6. By Lemma 3.1, we have $mp(H_{j1}) = n$. By induction hypothesis, we have $mp(H_{j2}) = n$.

Suppose $F_{j2} \neq \emptyset$ for all $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$. Let $f \in F$ and let d be the position of f. Then $f \notin F_{d2}$, $F_{d2} \neq \emptyset$ and $F_{d1} \cup F_{d2} \subseteq F$. So $|F_{d1}| < n = mp(H_{d1})$ and $|F_{d2}| < n = mp(H_{d2})$. For $s = 1$ or 2, F_{ds} is not a matching preclusion set of H_{ds} and $H_{ds} - F_{ds}$ has a perfect matching M_{ds}. Thus $M = M_{d1} \cup M_{d2}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$.

The rest case is that there exists an $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $F_{i2} = \emptyset$. When



Figure 6: The partition of the odd order n-grid graph G at position j.

$|F_{i1}| < n = mp(H_{i1})$, the set F_{i1} is not a matching preclusion set of H_{i1}. So $H_{i1} - F_{i1}$ has a perfect matching M'_{i1}. Let M'_{i2} be an almost perfect matching of $H_{i2} = H_{i2} - F_{i2}$. Then $M'_{i1} \cup M'_{i2}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$.

When $|F_{i1}| = n$, we have $F = F_{i1} \subseteq E(H_{i1})$. If $H_{i1} - F$ has an isolated vertex v, then $d_{H_{i1}}(v) = n$. Since $G-F$ has no isolated vertex, the vertex $v \in G_i[k_i-2]$, $d_{G_i[k_i-2]}(v) = n-1$. So $v \in V_\delta(G_i[k_i-2]) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G_i[k_i-2])$. By Lemma 2.2, $G_i[k_i-2]$ has an almost perfect matching M_v which uncovers v. Let $v^+ \in V(H_{i2})$ such that $vv^+ \in E_i^{k_i-2,k_i-1}(G)$. Then $vv^+ \notin F$ and $v^+ \in V_{allEven}(H_{i2})$. By Lemma 2.2, H_{i2} has an almost perfect matching M_v^+ such that M_v^+ uncovers v^+. Let M_v^- be any almost perfect matching of $H_{i1} - G_i[k_i - 2]$. Then $M_v^- \cup M_v \cup M_v^+ \cup \{vv^+\}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$.

Now we assume $H_{i1} - F$ has no isolated vertex. When $n \geqslant 3$, F is not a matching preclusion set of H_i by Theorem 3.9. So $G - F$ has an almost perfect matching. When $n = 2$, without loss of generality, we may assume $i = 0$. Then G is a $(k_0, k_1; 2)$-grid graph and H_{01} is an even order $(k_0-1, k_1; 2)$-grid graph where $k_0 \geqslant 3$ and $k_1 \geqslant 3$ are odd integers. If F is not a matching preclusion set of H_{01}, then $G - F$ has an almost perfect matching. Suppose F is a matching preclusion set of H_{01}. Since $H_{01} - F$ has no isolated vertex, by Lemma 3.2, we have $k_1 = 3$ and the endpoints of edges in F are $(u_0, 0)$, $(u_0 + 1, 0)$, $(u_0, 2)$ and $(u_0 + 1, 2)$ for some even number $u_0 \in \{0, 2, \ldots, k_0 - 3\}$. Then $F \subseteq E_0^{u_0,u_0+1}(G)$. Let $g \in E(G_0[0])$. Then $\{g\} \cup E_0^{1,2}(G) \cup E_0^{3,4}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$. □

Lemma A.3, in Appendix A, gives another proof of Theorem 4.1 when $n \geqslant 3$ without using results of matching preclusion for even order n-grid graphs.

Next we will discuss the structure of the optimal matching preclusion set of odd order n-grid graphs. The $(k_0; 1)$-grid graph G is a path P_{k_0} with k_0 odd. Then $mp(G) = 2$. Let

$F \subseteq E(G)$ be an optimal matching preclusion set. Then $|F| = 2$ and $P_{k_0} - F$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union $P_s \cup P_t \cup P_q$ of three paths where the positive numbers s, t, q are odd and $s + t + q = k_0$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \geqslant 2$ and let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. Then an edge set $F \subseteq E(G)$ is an optimal matching preclusion set of G if and only if F consists of edges incident with a vertex $u \in V_o(G)$ with $d_G(u) = n + 1$.*

Proof. Let $F \subseteq E(G)$ be an optimal matching preclusion set of G. Then $|F| = n + 1$ and $G - F$ has no almost perfect matching. Let $f = xy \in F$, let d be the position of f and let $x \in G_d[j]$ and $y \in G_d[j + 1]$ for some $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k_d - 2\}$. Let H_1 and H_2 be the connected components of $G - E_d^{j,j+1}(G)$ such that $x \in V(H_1)$ and $y \in V(H_2)$. Then one of H_1 and H_2 is of even order and the other is of odd order. Without loss of generality, we may assume H_1 is of odd order. Then $j \leqslant k_d - 3$ is even and H_2 is of even order. Then $mp(H_2) = n$ by Lemma 3.1. Let $F_1 = F \cap E(H_1)$ and $F_2 = F \cap E(H_2)$. Then $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$, $F_1 \cup F_2 \subseteq F \setminus \{f\}$, and $|F_1|, |F_2| \leqslant n$. The above partition at position d is shown in Figure 7. Let $M_0 = E_d^{0,1}(G) \cup E_d^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_d^{k_d-3,k_d-2}(G)$. Then M_0 is a matching of G and $f \in M_0$.



Figure 7: The partition of the odd order n-grid graph G at position d.

If F_2 is a matching preclusion set of H_2, then $|F_2| \geqslant n$. So $|F_2| = n$. By Theorem 3.9, $H_2 - F_2$ has an isolated vertex v, all the F_2-fault edges are incident with v and $d_{H_2}(v) = n$. Then v is a vertex of $G_d[j+1]$ or $G_d[k_d-1]$. If $v \in V(G_d[k_d-1])$. We have $d_G(v) = d_{H_2}(v) = n$, $v \in V_\delta(G) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G)$ and $v \in V_{allEven}(G_d[k_d - 1])$. By Lemma 2.2, $G_d[k_d - 1]$ has an almost perfect matching M_v which uncovers v. There exists an $(f; 4)$-cycle C_f^1 such that C_f^1 is an (F, M_0)-nice cycle. Then $(M_0 \Delta C_f^1) \cup M_v$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$. So $v \in V(G_d[j + 1])$. If $y \neq v$, then there exists an $(f; 4)$-cycle C_f^2 such that C_f^2 is an (F, M_0)-nice cycle. Let $M_v^{k_d-1}$ be an almost perfect matching of $G_d[k_d - 1]$. Then $(M_0 \Delta C_f^2) \cup M_v^{k_d-1}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$. So $y = v$ and all the F-fault

edges are incident with v. Since $d_{H_2}(v) = n$, the vertex degree $d_G(v) = n + 1 = |F|$. Hence v is an isolated vertex of $G - F$. Suppose $v \in V_e(G)$. Then by Lemma 2.4, G has an almost perfect matching M'_v which uncovers v. So M'_v is also an almost perfect matching of $G - F$. Thus $v \in V_o(G)$.

If F_2 is not a matching preclusion set of H_2, then $H_2 - F_2$ has a perfect matching M_2. If F_1 is not a matching preclusion set of H_1. Then $H_1 - F_1$ has an almost perfect matching M_1. So $M_1 \cup M_2$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$. This is a contradiction. So F_1 is a matching preclusion set of H_1. The matching preclusion number $mp(H_1) = n$ or $n + 1$ by Theorem 4.1. Then $|F_1| \geqslant mp(H_1) \geqslant n$. Since $|F_1| \leqslant n$, we have $|F_1| = mp(H_1) = n$. Then H_1 is an odd order $(n-1)$-grid graph, $j = 0$, and $F_2 = \emptyset$. Let M^{k_d-1} be an almost perfect matching of $G_d[k_d - 1]$. If x is not an isolated vertex in $H_1 - F_1$, then there exists an $(f, d, 0; 4)$-cycle C^3_f such that C^3_f is an (F, M_0)-nice cycle. So $(M_0 \Delta C^3_f) \cup M^{k_d-1}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$. Hence x is an isolated vertex in $H_1 - F_1$. The vertex degree satisfies $n - 1 = \delta(H_1) \leqslant d_{H_1}(x) \leqslant |F_1| = n$. If $d_{H_1}(x) = n - 1$, then $d_G(x) = n$ and there exists exactly one edge $g \in F_1$ which is not incident with x. Since $x \in V_\delta(G) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G)$ and $|\{g\}| = 1 < n$, the set $\{g\}$ is not a matching preclusion set of $G - x$ by Lemma 2.3. Then $(G - x) - g$ has a perfect matching M^x. This means M^x is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$ which uncovers x. Thus $d_{H_1}(x) = n$. So $d_G(x) = n + 1$, all the F-fault edges are incident with x, and x is an isolated vertex of $G - F$. By the same argument as in the last paragraph, we have $x \in V_o(G)$.

Conversely, let $F \subseteq E(G)$ such that $|F| = n + 1$ and $G - F$ has an isolated vertex $u \in V_o(G)$ with $d_G(u) = n + 1$. Then F consists of edges incident with u. By Lemma 2.5, F is an optimal matching preclusion set. □

Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 imply the odd order part of our main theorem.

References

[1] A. R. de Almeida, F. Protti, and L. Markenzon, Matching preclusion number in Cartesian product of graphs and its application to interconnection networks, Ars Combin., 112:193-204, 2013.

[2] R. Bhaskar, E. Cheng, M. Liang, S. Pandey, and K. Wang, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion problems for twisted cubes, Congr. Numer., 205:175-185, 2010.

[3] R. C. Brigham, F. Harary, E. C. Violin, and J. Yellen, Perfect-matching preclusion, Congr. Numer., 174:185-192, 2005.

[4] E. Cheng, P. Hu, R. Jia, and L. Lipták, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion for bipartite interconnection networks II: Cayley graphs generated by transposition trees and hyper-stars, Networks, 59(4):357-364, 2012.

[5] E. Cheng, P. Hu, R. Jia, and L. Lipták, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion problems for bipartite interconnection networks I: suffcient conditions, Networks, 59(4):349-356, 2012.

[6] E. Cheng, R. Jia, and D. Lu, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion for augmented cubes, J. Interconnection Networks, 11:35-60, 2011.

[7] E. Cheng, L. Lesniak, M. J. Lipman, and L. Lipták, Conditional matching preclusion sets, Inform. Sci., 179:1092-1101, 2009.

[8] E. Cheng, L. Lesniak, M. J. Lipman, and L. Lipták, Matching preclusion for alternating group graphs and their generalizations, Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci., 19:1413-1437, 2008.

[9] E. Cheng, M. J. Lipman, and L. Lipták, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion for regular interconnection networks, Discrete Appl. Math., 160(13-14):1936-1954, 2012.

[10] E. Cheng, and L. Lipták, Conditional matching preclusion for Cayley graphs generated by transposition trees, Congr. Numer., 213:143-154, 2012.

[11] E. Cheng, and L. Lipták, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion problems for tori and related cartesian products, Discrete Appl. Math., 160:1699-1716, 2012.

[12] E. Cheng, and L. Lipták, Matching preclusion for some interconnection networks, Networks, 50:173-180, 2007.

[13] E. Cheng, L. Lipták, M. J. Lipman, and M. Toeniskoetter, Conditional matching preclusion for the alternating group graphs and split-stars, Int. J. Comput. Math., 88(6):1120-1136, 2011.

[14] E. Cheng, L. Lipták, N. Prince, and K. Stanton, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion problems for the generalized Petersen graph $P(n, 3)$, Congr. Numer., 210:61-72, 2011.

[15] E. Cheng, L. Lipták, B. Scholten, and J. Voss, James, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion for wrapped-around butterfly graphs, Congr. Numer., 204:45-64, 2010.

[16] E. Cheng,L. Lipták, and D. Sherman, Matching preclusion for the (n,k)-bubble-sort graphs, Int. J. Comput. Math., 87(11):2408-2418, 2010.

[17] E. Cheng, S. Padmanabhan, and K. Qiu, Matching preclusion and conditional matching preclusion for crossed cubes, Parallel Process. Lett., 22(2):1250005, 2012.

[18] X. Hu, and H. Liu, The (conditional) matching preclusion for burnt pancake graphs, Discrete Appl. Math., 161(10-11):1481-1489, 2013.

[19] Q. Li, J. He, H. Zhang, Matching preclusion for vertex-transitive networks, Discrete Appl. Math. 207: 90-98, 2016.

[20] H. Lü, X. Li, and H. Zhang, Matching preclusion for balanced hypercubes, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 465:10-20, 2012.

[21] S. Wang, R. Wang, S. Lin, and J. Li, Matching preclusion for k-ary n-cubes, Discrete Appl. Math., 158:2066-2070, 2010.

A Matching preclusion number of odd order n-grid graph where $n \geqslant 2$

Lemma A.1. *Let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1; 2)$-grid graph. Then $mp(G) = 3$.*

Proof. We follow the summary of this proof as that of Theorem 4.1 (see Figure 5). By using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we can get this result. □

Lemma A.2. *Let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph and let $f \in E(G)$. Then there exists an almost perfect matching M_f such that $f \notin M_f$.*

Proof. Let d be the position of $f = u_j u_{j+1}$ where $u_j \in G_d[j]$ and $u_{j+1} \in G_d[j+1]$. Let H_1 and H_2 be the connected components of $H = G - E_d^{j,j+1}(G)$ where $u_j \in V(H_1)$ and $u_{j+1} \in V(H_2)$. If j is even, then H_1 is an even order grid graph and H_2 is an odd order grid graph. Let M_1 be a perfect matching of H_1 and let M_2 be an almost perfect matching of H_2. Then $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ is an almost perfect matching of G such that $f \notin M$. If j is odd, then H_1 is an odd order grid graph and H_2 is an even order grid graph. Let M_1' be an almost perfect matching of H_1 and let M_2' be a perfect matching of H_2. Then $M' = M_1' \cup M_2'$ is an almost perfect matching of G such that $f \notin M'$. □

Let $n \geqslant 3$. Here we give a proof of matching preclusion number of odd order n-grid graph without using the matching preclusion results for even order grid graphs.

Lemma A.3. *Let $n \geqslant 3$ and let G be an odd order $(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}; n)$-grid graph. Then $mp(G) = n + 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, $mp(G) \leqslant \min\{d_G(v) \mid v \in V_o(G)\} = n + 1$. Next we will show that $mp(G) > n$, i.e. for any $F \subseteq E(G)$ with $|F| \leqslant n$, $G - F$ has an almost perfect matching. Let $F \subseteq E(G)$ such that $|F| \leqslant n$. For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$, the subgraph $G_0[j]$ is an odd order $(n-1)$-grid graph. Let $F_j = F \cap E(G_0[j])$.

First suppose $F_j = F$ for some $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0 - 1$. We consider two possibilities for j. If $j \neq 0$, then $M_1 \cup M_{1,0}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G - F$ where $M_1 = E_0^{1,2}(G) \cup E_0^{3,4}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-2,k_0-1}(G)$ and $M_{1,0}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G_0[0]$. If $j \neq k_0 - 1$,

then $M_2 \cup M_{2,k_0-1}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$ where $M_2 = E_0^{0,1}(G) \cup E_0^{2,3}(G) \cup \cdots \cup E_0^{k_0-3,k_0-2}(G)$ and M_{2,k_0-1} is an almost perfect matching of $G_0[k_0-1]$.

Now suppose $F_j \neq F$ for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0-1$. Then $|F_j| \leqslant n-1$. The following are two cases. First let $|F_j| \leqslant n-2$ for any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0-1$. Since the size $|V_{allEven}(G_0[j])| = \frac{k_1+1}{2}\frac{k_2+1}{2}\cdots\frac{k_{n-1}+1}{2} \geqslant 2^{n-1} > n$, we can choose a vertex $u_j \in V_{allEven}(G_0[j])$ such that $P = u_0u_1\cdots u_{k_0-1}$ is a path of length k_0-1 such that F uncovers P. So $|F_j| < n-1 = mp(G_0[j]-u_j)$ and $G_0[j]-F_j$ has an almost perfect matching $M[j]$ which uncovers u_j by Lemma 2.3. Let M_P be an almost perfect matching of P. Then $M[1] \cup M[2] \cup \cdots \cup M[k_0-1] \cup M_P$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$. The other case is that $|F_j| = n-1$ for some $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_0-1$. Let $\{f\} = F \setminus F_j$. Then $f \in E_0(G)$ or $f \in F_k$ for some $k \neq j$ where $0 \leqslant k \leqslant k_0-1$.

Let $f \in F_k$ for some $k \neq j$ where $0 \leqslant k \leqslant k_0-1$. Without loss of generality, we may let $j < k$. If j is odd, let H_1 and H_2 be the connected components of $G-(G_0[j-1] \cup G_0[j])$ where H_1 is of even order, H_2 is of odd order and $f \in E(H_2)$. Then H_2 is an odd order m-grid graph where $m \in \{n-1, n\}$. There exists an almost perfect matching M_f of H_2 such that $f \notin M_f$ by Lemma A.2. Then $M(H_1) \cup E_0^{j-1,j}(G) \cup M_f$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$ where $M(H_1)$ is a perfect matching of H_1. If j is even, let H_1' and H_2' be the connected components of $G-(G_0[j] \cup G_0[j+1])$ where H_1' is of even order, H_2' is of odd order and $f \in E(G_0[j+1]) \cup E(H_2')$. Then H_2' is an odd order m'-grid graph where $m' \in \{n-1, n\}$. There exists an almost perfect matching M_f' of H_2' such that $f \notin M_f'$ by Lemma A.2. Then $M(H_1') \cup E_0^{j,j+1}(G) \cup M_f'$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$ where $M(H_1')$ is a perfect matching of H_1'.

Let $f \in E_0(G)$. If $G_0[j]-F_j$ has an isolated vertex x_j, then all the edges in F_j are incident with x_j, $d_{G_0[j]}(x_j) = n-1$ and $x_j \in V_\delta(G_0[j]) \subseteq V_{allEven}(G_0[j])$. Let $x_k \in V_{allEven}(G_0[k])$ for $k \neq j$ such that $P' = x_0x_1\cdots x_{k_0-1}$ is a path of length k_0-1. Then for $0 \leqslant h \leqslant k_0-1$, $G_0[h]-F_h$ has an almost perfect matching M^h which uncovers x_h by Lemma 2.2. There exists an almost perfect matching M_P' of P' such that $f \notin E(P')$ by Lemma A.2. Thus $M^0 \cup M^1 \cup \cdots \cup M^{k_0-1} \cup M_P'$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$.

Suppose $G_0[j]-F_j$ has no isolated vertices. Let M_1, $M_{1,0}$, M_2 and M_{2,k_0-1} be defined above. First let $j \neq 0$. If $f \notin M_1$, then $M_1 \cup M_{1,0}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$. If $f \in M_1$, then there exists an $(f;4)$-cycle C_1 such that $C_1 \cap F_j = \emptyset$. So $(M_1 \Delta C_1) \cup M_{1,0}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$. Now let $j \neq k_0-1$. If $f \notin M_2$, then $M_2 \cup M_{2,k_0-1}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$. If $f \in M_2$, then there exists an $(f;4)$-cycle C_2 such that $C_2 \cap F_j = \emptyset$. So $(M_2 \Delta C_2) \cup M_{2,k_0-1}$ is an almost perfect matching of $G-F$. $\square$